Stantec Analytical Validation Checklist

KIIST	Report No. A1G14
Project Number: 213402048	3
Laboratory: Eurofins/Lanca	ster Laboratory
Laboratory Project Number:	1814572

### Parameters Validated:

Validator: Linda Goad

Date Validated: 12/19/2018

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA SW-846 3550B/8082 – soil matrix

Laboratory Report Date: 7/31/2017

Percent Solids by SM 2540 G-1997

Sample Start-End Date: 6/15/2017

Project Name: Amtrak North Yard

## Samples Validated:

F-7(1.0-1.3), LLI # 9054385

F-7(1.5-1.8), LLI # 9054386

F-7(2.0-2.3), LLI # 9054387

F-7(2.5-2.8), LLI # 9054388

F-7(3.0-3.3), LLI # 9054389

F-7(3.5-3.8), LLI # 9054390

F-7(4.0-4.3), LLI # 9054391

F-7(4.5-4.8), LLI # 9054392

F-7(5.0-5.3), LLI # 9054393

F-7(5.5-5.8), LLI # 9054394

F-7(6.0-6.3), LLI # 9054395

DUP-31, LLI # 9054396

F-7(6.5-6.8), LLI # 9054397

F-7(6.5-6.8)MS, LLI # 9054398

F-7(6.5-6.8)MSD, LLI # 9054399

G-7(0.0-0.3), LLI # 9054400

G-7(0.5-0.8), LLI # 9054401

G-7(1.0-1.3), LLI # 9054402

G-7(1.5-1.8), LLI # 9054403

G-7(2.0-2.3), LLI # 9054404

#### **VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK**

# Validation Flags Applicable to this Review:

- **U** The analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- **J** The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- **J+** Result is estimated quantity but the result may be biased high.
- **J-** Result is estimated quantity but the result may be biased low.
- The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- **NJ** The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- **B** The analyte was detected in the method, field, and/or trip blank.
- **R** The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

1.	Were all the analyses requested for the samples	Yes	No
	submitted with each COC completed by the lab?	X	

Comments:					
Did the laboratory identify any non-conformances related to the analytical result?	Yes <b>X</b>	No			
Comments: The laboratory noted that there were surrogate recovery problems for PCBs. See Item 10 below for details.					
3. Were sample Chain-of-Custody forms complete?	Yes <b>X</b>	No			
Comments:					
Were samples received in good condition and at the appropriate temperature?	Yes X	No			
Comments:  Based on the laboratory sample receipt form, the samples were received by the laboratory without custody seals.					
5. Were sample holding times met?	Yes <b>X</b>	No			
Comments:					
6. Were correct concentration units reported?	Yes <b>X</b>	No			
Comments:					
7. Were detections found in laboratory blank samples?	Yes	No <b>X</b>			
Comments:					
8. Were detections found in field blank, equipment rinse NA blank, and/or trip blank samples?	Yes	No			
Comments:  No field blanks were submitted with this sample delivery group.					
9. Were instrument calibrations within method criteria? NA	Yes	No			
Comments:  Not Applicable, Level II data validation.					
10. Were surrogate recoveries within control limits?	Yes	No <b>X</b>			

#### Comments:

PCBs: Recoveries of the surrogate decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) were greater than the Delaware Department of Natural Resources (DNREC) Standard Operating Procedures for Chemical Analytical Programs Under the Hazardous Substances Cleanup Act (SOPCAP, Feb. 26, 2015) control limits in sample F-7(1.5-1.8) (163%). Detected results for PCBs in this sample were not qualified because the sample was diluted by 100X prior to analysis. The surrogate recovery does not provide meaningful information. Recoveries of DCB and the surrogate tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCX) were greater than the SOPCAP control limits in sample F-7(1.0-1.3) (370%/194%). Detected results for PCBs in this sample were not qualified because the sample was diluted by 500X prior to analysis. The surrogate recovery does not provide meaningful information. Recoveries of DCB and TCX were less than the SOPCAP control limits in sample G-7(0.0-0.3) (21%/38%). PCBs were not detected in this sample and were qualified UJ (estimated reporting limit).

qualified UJ (estimated reporting limit).  Reason code: SUR		·	
11. Were laboratory control sample(s) (LCS/LCSD) sample recoveries within control limits?		Yes <b>X</b>	No
Comments:			
12. Were matrix spike (MS/MSD) recoveries within control limits?	NA	Yes X	No
Comments:			
The sample F-7(6.5-6.8) was analyzed as the site-specific MS/M control limits published in the NFG.	ISD for PCBs.	The %Rs were	within the
13. Were RPDs within control limits?		Yes <b>X</b>	No
Comments:			
14. Were dilutions required on any samples?		Yes X	No
Comments:  PCBs: Five soil samples required dilution prior to analysis, with a Sample reporting limits were adjusted accordingly. No data were		s ranging from 5	5X to 500X.
15. Were Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC) present?	NA <b>X</b>	Yes	No
Comments: TIC not requested.			
16. Were organic system performance criteria met?	NA <b>X</b>	Yes	No
Comments: Not Applicable, Level II data validation.			
17. Were GC/MS internal standards within method criteria?	NA <b>X</b>	Yes	No
Comments: Not Applicable, Level II data validation.			
18. Were inorganic system performance criteria met?	NA <b>X</b>	Yes	No
Comments:			

19. Were blind field dup precision (RPD) of the re	plicates collected? If so, disc esults.	uss the		Yes <b>X</b>	No
Primary Sample ID F-7(6.0-6.3) for PCBs	Duplicate Sample ID  DUP-31				
Comments: PCBs: PCBs were not d	etected in either the primary o	or the field duplicate	e sample.		
20. Were at least 10 per the Electronic Data Deliv	ercent of the hard copy results erable Results?	s compared to	Yes <b>X</b>	No	Initials KEF
Comments:					
21. Other?				Yes	No <b>X</b>
Comments:					
PRECISION, ACC	URACY, METHOD COMPLIA	ANCE AND COMPI	LETENES	S ASSESSN	IENT
Precision:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	able	Initials LEG	
Comments:		•		•	
Sensitivity:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	able	Initials LEG	
Comments:		•		•	
Accuracy:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	able	Initials LEG	
Comments:				-	
Representativeness:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	able	Initials LEG	
Comments:		•		•	
Method Compliance:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	able	Initials LEG	
Comments:				-	
Completeness:	Acceptable X	Unaccepta	able	Initials LEG	
Comments:					